Tokyo Medical and Dental University and Chulalongkorn University International Joint Degree Doctor of Philosophy Program in Orthodontics

External Assessment Sheet

Term of the Assessment August, 2017 - July, 2018

Evaluator name:

Dr. Koichi Kato and Dr. Chairat Charoemratrote

Contents

Preparing the External Assessment Sheet······		
External Assessment		
1. Mechanism for implementation of JD Program		
1-1. Joint implementation structure ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
1-2. Policies • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
1-3. Quality assurance system •••••••4		
2. Operation of JD Program		
2-1. Progress of JD Program operation······5		
2-2. Fulfillment of student capacity · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2-3. Syllabuses······	7	
2-4. Evaluation method and criteria •••••••8		
3. Student Support		
3-1. Student support system · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Conclusion·····10		

Purpose and Background

In 2015, Tokyo Medical and Dental University (hereinafter referred to as the "TMDU") and Chulalongkorn University (hereinafter referred to as the "CU") received authorizations from their Ministry of Education to establish a joint degree program (hereinafter referred to as "JDP").

In 2016, the JDP specializing in orthodontics started, and it has been operated jointly by TMDU and CU. TMDU and CU, through this JDP, aim for training medical professionals with a rich international quality, and enhance the universities' international profiles, presences and competitiveness.

The JDP focus on fostering professionals who understand the essentials of clinical dentistry and service, and who show promise as talented researchers and future leaders in ASEAN countries.

In the Program, which is different from the usual doctoral program, we offer a curriculum that will enable students to develop the high-quality research capabilities needed to qualify for an academic degree and the clinical skills necessary to become effective dental clinicians.

The Program will provide students high-quality supervision for research training, thesis development and writing. Students will be awarded one degree under the joint names of TMDU and CU after successful completion.

As a Quality assurance system of the program, we set three steps; 1. Self-assessment, 2. External assessment, 3. Faculty Development. Concerning the external assessment, we must undergo assessment by external experts to evaluate the status of program implementation and achievement and make improvements. To this end, we have set guidelines as below.

- University's Quality Assurance System (hereinafter referred to as "System") shall be established for the purpose of carrying out assessments of the JDP.
- The system shall assess the status of program implementation and achievement and suggest improvements.
- The system shall consist of persons with relevant knowledge, outsourcing veterans, professors from other universities who hold doctoral degrees in relevant fields and have experiences of granting doctoral degrees.

Dr. Koichi Kato, Dean, School of Dentistry of Hiroshima University and Dr. Chairat Charoemratrote, Dean, Faculty of Dentistry of Prince of Songkla University undertake the external assessors. This is a report of the assessment results.

External Assessment Method

Two external assessors assessed the status of project implementation/achievement and made comments on project achievements that are organized into eight assessment categories.

Assessment criteria is the same as the self-assessment report for the four categories, as shown below.

IV	Achieved more than was planned.
Ш	Plan was achieved.
II	Plan was not achieved sufficiently.
I	Plan was not achieved.

1. Mechanism for implementation of JD Program

Assessment Category

1-1. Joint implementation structure (Self-assessment report page 2)

Criteria	educat metho	oriate committees for discussing important matters of ion activities and examining curricula and educational ds are organized and active. (1-1-1) oriate communication method is established. (1-1-2)
	Rating	 The joint implementation structure has been very well established until now.
Self-assessment	Ш	 Since last year, renovation of the implementation structure turned out to be well designed. Usual communications between the two universities have been well carried out by the regular teleconference and the exchange of the emails at appropriate timings.
	Rating (Please circle)	IV · III · II · I
External- assessment	Comments	<dr. kato="" koichi=""> The joint implementation structure and the communication methods have been well established. The rules of the University Quality Assurance System should be agreed promptly by TMDU and CU. <dr. chairat="" charoemratrote=""> The merging of some committees, since 2016, led to a better program managing. The benefits of the merging are reducing the time, and ease for managing among many committees. Besides teleconferences and emails, the program was assigned a visiting professor at TMDU as a program coordinator which truly made the communication between 2 universities much more effective.</dr.></dr.>

Assessment Category

1-2. Policies

(Self-assessment report page 7)

Criteria	objecti approv Curricu the edu Acader Curricu Curricu	ves and published, and completion of the program is ed in a fair and strict manner. (1-2-1) flum Policy is clearly indicated and published based on ucational objectives. (1-2-2) mic curricula are organized and implemented based on flum Policy. (1-2-2) dmissions Policy is established and entrance aation is conducted in accordance with the policy.
Self-assessment	Rating III	 The current policies, both diploma and curriculum, are performed very well. The Diploma Policy, Curriculum Policy and Admissions Policy have been well applied and conducted.
External review	Rating (Please circle) Comments	IV • II • I <dr. kato="" koichi=""> Entrance examination subjects are consistent with the Admission Policy. Entrance examinations have been conducted as planed. <dr. chairat="" charoemratrote=""> All policies are very well introduced, applied and conducted based on their indicatons.</dr.></dr.>

Assessment Category 1-3. Quality assurance system (Self-assessment report page 12)

		sessment is implemented and the results are published, flected in the educational and research activities. (1-3-1)		
	 After carrying out the external assessment, the results are published and reflected in educational and research activities. (1-3-2) 			
	Opinions of university members (students and faculty members) obtained in order to ensure proper use of the results in concrete, sustainable improvement of educational			
Criteria	quality. (1-3-3) Opinions of external parties are utilized properly toward concrete, sustainable improvement of educational quality			
	improv Informathe iter Enforce	development is properly managed, and leads to rement of educational quality and coursework. (1-3-3) ation such as that on educational activities (including ms defined in paragraph 2, article 172 of the rement regulations of the school education Law, MEXT)		
	Rating	The program has the complete quality assurance		
Self-assessment	Ш	system comprising self-assessment, external assessment, feedback of assessment result and publication. Self-assessment of the program is planned to be undergone in January, 2019, and external assessment is planned to be undergone during January to March, 2019. The complete external assessment report will be finalized in March 2019. The feedback of external assessment will be publicized, and shared with faculty members through faculty development. Information of the program is publicized on the website.		
	Rating (Please circle)			
External review	Comments	<dr. kato="" koichi=""> The results of internal and external assessments have been immediately and suitably fed back to the faculty members at TMDU and CU to improve the JD program. A similar process is planed for the next year.</dr.>		
		<dr. chairat="" charoemratrote=""> Self-assessment is excellent since it is very well written, explained and criticized based on important information from stakeholders with practical plan for</dr.>		

the improvement. External-assessment is conducted according to the indications. Feedback of assessment results were very well performed according to the feedbacks. All information was distributed and publicized in not
only Japanese and English but also in Thai.

2. Operation of JD Program

Assessment Category

2-1. Progress of JD Program operation (Self-assessment report page 17)

Criteria	Prograi	m is operated properly as planned.
	Rating	 Written examination, wire-bending examination
		and essay examination were conducted at CU.
		Subsequent joint interview was conducted
		through video conferencing system. CU and
		TMDU jointly conducted the entrance
		examination 2018, and 3 students were
		matriculated to JDP.
		The guidance of the research topics during TMDU
		professors' visit to CU in August 2018 was very
		helpful to the students.
		 For the students matriculated in 2017, the
Self-assessment		Qualifying Examination was done in the middle of
	Ш	the 2nd semester of the 1st year. The students
		had their own research topics from the Qualifying
		Examination so they could start their research at
		TMDU.
		 For the students matriculated in 2016, they
		finished the research part in TMDU and continued
		their research work in CU. The dissertation
		proposal examination was done in November
		2018. They started their clinical work by accepting
		new orthodontic patients, doing the diagnostic
		and treatment planning work to prepare the
		treatment of the patients in 2nd semester.
	Rating (Please circle)	IV · (III) · II · I
	(i icase circle)	<dr. kato="" koichi=""></dr.>
External review		The JD program has been properly operated as planed
		for students matriculated in 2016, 2017, and 2018.
	Comments	It is highly evaluated that all 3 students successfully
		received MEXT scholarship.
		<dr. chairat="" charoemratrote=""></dr.>

The entrance examinations and interviews were very well planned and conducted for all applicants to get 3 new students to enroll the program. The research course was very well organized and arranged for both the advisors and students so that the topics, the examinations and the experiments could be achieved according to the schedules.
could be achieved according to the schedules.

Assessment Category 2-2. Fulfillment of student capacity (Self-assessment report page 20)

Criteria	 Admissions Policy, Curriculum Policy and Diploma Policy are properly publicized and disseminated. Number of enrollments versus admissions quota is appropriate. 	
	Rating	The ratios of number of admission/number of
		applicants were 3/9 in 2016, 3/6 in 2017, and 3/7
		in 2018. Student capacity fulfilled in 2016, 2017
Self-assessment		and 2018.
Jen assessment	Ш	Information on the curriculum is easily assessed
		on the first page of CU's website. Applicants can
		find more details on the graduate school office
		website when they start the application process.
	Rating (Please circle)	IA · (III) · II · I
External review	Comments	<dr. kato="" koichi=""> I appreciate that the number of applicants was continuously high compared to the admission quota. Although it is not clear from the self-assessment sheet whether any Japanese students applied to the JD program, I think that additional efforts are required to encourage application by Japanese students. <dr. chairat="" charoemratrote=""> All information regarding the admissions policy, curriculum policy and diploma policy were very well publicized and disseminated. The number of admissions compared to applicants were appropriate.</dr.></dr.>

Assessment Category
2-3. Syllabuses
(Self-assessment report page 22)

Criteria	Appropriate syllabuses have been prepared and are in operation.	
	Rating	The syllabuses for JDP have been properly prepared in
Self-assessment	Ш	English and Thai and have been on CU website.
External review	Rating (Please circle)	IV · (III) · II · I
	Comments	<dr. kato="" koichi=""> Syllabuses given in English and Thai have been properly posted on the CU website. Self-assessment on the contents of syllabuses will serve to further improve the JD program.</dr.>
		<dr. chairat="" charoemratrote=""> The syllabuses were appropriate in both Japanese and English and effectively in operation for the students and faculties.</dr.>

Assessment Category 2-4. Evaluation method and criteria (Self-assessment report page 23)

Criteria	credits subject	cion methods and criteria (grading, recognition of , and completion of the course) are established for each c. They are being conducted properly in a fair manner, we been disclosed to students.
0.16	Rating	The grading system was well established. The grade
Self-assessment	Ш	conversion is now being used effectively.
External review	Rating (Please circle)	IA · II · I
	Comments	<dr. kato="" koichi=""> The grading systems, including a new grading table for dissertation, were well established and used effectively.</dr.>
		<dr. chairat="" charoemratrote=""> The grading system was very well formulated and effectively used. A comparison tables were very well constructed for more practically application.</dr.>

3. Student Support

Assessment Category

3-1. Student support system (Self-assessment report page 26)

Criteria	 Appropriate housing support for students is ensured. (3-1-1) Educational environment that provides education according to instruction goals is established. (3-1-2) Adequate financial support for students is ensured. (3-1-3) 		
	Rating	Besides the scholarship mentioned above, there is a scholarship called "100th Anniversary Chulalongkorn	
		University Fund for Doctoral Scholarship" for the Ph.D.	
Self-assessment		students. All 2nd and 3rd year students have got the scholarship. Therefore the students can be somehow	
	IV	alleviated from their financial burden. Three 1st-year	
		students also have a plan to apply for this scholarship.	
		In Japan, few scholarships are available to JDP	
		students because most of them have to be applied for	
		during a particular period.	
	Rating (Please circle)	(IA) · III · II · I	
External review	Comments	<dr. kato="" koichi=""> Due to a great effort by both TMDU and CU, an adequate financial support for students is ensured so that students can be alleviated from their financial burden. More stable funds would serve for continuously implementing the JD program. <dr. chairat="" charoemratrote=""> Accommodation was very well provided with additional supporting cares. For necessary supporting</dr.></dr.>	
		infrastructures (research, facilities and properties) were very well prepared from both TMDU and CU. Scholarships from both universities were granted and continued to award, moreover both universities still look for more means of financial support for the students indicated that both universities are very active to support the students.	

Conclusion

	Rating (Please circle)	
Conclusion	Comments	<dr. kato="" koichi=""> During 3-year implementation of the JD program, TMDU and CU have achieved a great success in various aspects, most of which are much more than those expected. This is the first successful case of a JD program in dentistry in Japan, and provides an excellent role model for other institutions. The three students matriculated in 2016 are approaching to the final stage of dissertation. Therefore, the methods of guidance on publication and dissertation defense will be a major focus in the coming years. The recruitment of Japanese students seems to be another important challenge in the JD program. It would be fine if the contents of syllabuses were disclosed to the external reviewers for more detailed assessment of the program. <pr. chairat="" charoemratrote=""> There are 3 categories to assess. The first one, mechanism for implementation of JD program, the summary rating is IV. The second one, operation of JD program, the summary rating is III to IV. The last one, student support, the rating is IV. The ratings are IV, III to IV and IV, so that the final rating would be IV. I found the program had many innovations in term of managements and I appreciate all the efforts that the program truly tried to support the students.</pr.></dr.>